## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	d States of America,	)	Case No. 4:23-mg-71264-MAG-2
(	Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Du	na Dinh	)	
	Dinh Defendant(s).	)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{O1/O8/7 O2^{1/2}}{O8/7 O2^{1/2}}$ , the court excludes time under the Speedy Frial Act from $\frac{O1/O8/7 O2^{1/2}}{O8/7 O2^{1/2}}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by Equation to $\frac{O3/7 O2^{1/2}}{O8/7 O2^{1/2}}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by Equation to $\frac{O1/O8/7 O2^{1/2}}{O8/7 O2^{1/2}}$ . The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(	vould be lik i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of just GLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO OAKLAND OF CALLER
	defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	the prosecu expect ade	o [check applicable reasons] the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
			the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance v counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(2)	commitmen	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>		ion, taking i	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
4	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the paragraph the time limits for a preliminary	te court sets parties' show hearing un indicate the court of the court of the court indicate the court is the court indicate the court is the court	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for ictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
DATED: 1/8/24  DONNA M. RYU  Listed States Mediatoria Judge			
	1- 11-		United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: More Molanumba Zi la			
SIIF	Attorney for Defendar	nt	Assistant United States Attorney